

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/087,858	•	03/05/2002	Go Inoue	Q68703	8358	
23373	7590	02/13/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE			SHIPPEN, MICHAEL L			
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1621		

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/087,858	INOUE ET AL.	INOUE ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	T			
	MICHAEL L. SHIPPEN	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	dress			
THE REPLY FILED 30 January 2004 FAILS TO PLATherefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of this a r: (1) a timely filed amendmer opeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper re it which places the appli	eply to a ication in			
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thre earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forther than SIX MONTHS from the mailing VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS of the date on which the petition under 37 Coxtension and the corresponding amount the statutory period for reply originally	date of the final rejection. OF THE FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriate esset in the final Office action; of	See MPEP te extension fee xtension fee under r (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entere	ed because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require for	urther consideration and/or sea	arch (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the applicati issues for appeal; and/or	ion in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or	simplifying the			
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without car NOTE:	nceling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected clai	ims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely file	ed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) reques application in condition for allowance because		considered but does N	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOI	LELY to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claim	· · · · —	•	l and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follo	ows:		•			
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8 and 11-18</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)		ed by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No	o(s) /				

MICHAEL L. SHIPPEN Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1621

10. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejection under 35 USC 103 is considered proper for reasons of record and is maintained.

Continuation of 10. Other: The objection to the amendment to the specification under 35 USC 132 is withdrawn.